

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,132	02/21/2002	Michael R. Bloomberg	3524/52	8536	
	29858 7590 06/13/2007 THELEN REID BROWN RAYSMAN & STEINER LLP			EXAMINER	
900 THIRD AV			WEST, LEWIS G		
NEW YORK, I	NY 10022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2618			
			<b></b>		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	7.4	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/081,132	BLOOMBERG ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Lewis G. West	2618	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is not soft time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ma This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  see except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-8,21 and 22 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8,21 and 22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the disconnected Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to ath or declaration is objected to by the Examinary sheet is a specific to be a specific to	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign    All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
	ī.			
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	

Art Unit: 2618

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 14, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

While the applicant has considered the board's decision in formulating the amended and new claims, the board did note set forth exemplary language or indicate allowable subject matter. The revised claim language, which did change the scope of claims 1 and 3, now requires that there be voice communications and separately and distinctly non-voice communications, and this is found in Olshansky, which sets forth both the use of voice and the use of either e-mail or facsimile which do not rely on voice communication. Therefore Olshansky does still read on the claim language, the applied art having been upheld by the board as analogous and combinable.

The newly added claims, 21 and 22, are not patentably distinct as the boards ruled that the position that "communication is available for each computer terminal for which a finger-image was authenticated" still applies. If one device may be authenticated and communication occur, then it can clearly communicate with another device. Applicant's specification does not provide for specific exclusion of communication with other telecommunications device not authenticated, so the claim language cannot be interpreted as such in accordance with the specification, as this would then be new matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 10/081,132

Art Unit: 2618

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over OLSHANSKY (US 6,493,437 B1) in view of TRANDAL et al (US 2003/0081752 A1) and PATEL (US 2002/0174345 A1).

Regarding claim 1 and 22, OLSHANSKY discloses a system for enabling use of a computer terminal in a network to access or otherwise participate in at least one network-related function (e-mail and fax, which do not depend on voice communications, see col. 7 lines 31-41) and voice communication over the network, comprising: authenticating means; means responsive to the authenticating means for enabling the computer terminal in the network to access or otherwise participate in the performance of at least one network-related function and voice communication over the network at least from each computer terminal which was authenticated (column 3 lines 1-28 and column 5 lines 11-55). However, OLSHANSKY does not disclose a telephone handset including a microphone and speaker coupled to provide signals to and receive signals from the computer terminal for voice communication; and a finger image sensor coupled to at least to provide signals to the computer terminal relating to a finger-image sensed by the finger-image sensor; means for electronically authenticating a finger-image sensed by a fingerimage sensor based on the finger-image-related signals provided to that computer terminal. TRANDAL et al discloses a telephone handset (140 of figure 1) including a microphone and speaker coupled to provide signals to and receive signals from the computer terminal for voice communication (paragraph 37). PATEL discloses a finger image sensor coupled to at least to provide signals to the computer terminal relating to a finger-image sensed by the finger-image sensor; means for electronically authenticating a finger-image sensed by a finger-image sensor

Art Unit: 2618

based on the finger-image-related signals provided to that computer terminal (figure 9 and paragraphs 92-98 and 12). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of OLSHANSKY, TRANDAL et al and PATEL. OLSHANSKY is silent as to the means to the structure of the means to send and receive voice via the computer. TRANDAL et al discloses a prior art means by which to send and receive voice via the computer. PATEL's finger image authentication enhances OLSHANSKY's teaching by proving a greater degree of security in the authentication.

Regarding claim 2 and 21, see the parent claim for the subject matter this claim depends from. OLSHANSKY further discloses the enabling means enables voice communication to and from only each terminal for which a sensed finger-image was authenticated (column 3 lines 1-28 and column 5 lines 11-55).

Regarding claim 3, OLSHANSKY discloses a system for enabling use of a computer terminal in a network to access or otherwise participate in at least one network-related function (e-mail and fax, which do not depend on voice communications, see col. 7 lines 31-41) and voice communication between computer terminals in the network, comprising: a plurality of computer terminals in the network; authenticating means; means responsive to the authenticating means for enabling the computer terminal that was authenticated to access or otherwise participate in the performance of at least one network-related function and voice communications over the network (column 3 lines 1-28 and column 5 lines 11-55). However, OLSHANSKY does not disclose a microphone and a speaker coupled to each of the plurality of computer terminals to provide signals to and receive signals from the computer terminal for voice communication; and a finger image sensor at least to provide signals to the computer terminal relating to a finger-

Art Unit: 2618

image sensed by the finger-image sensor; means for electronically authenticating a finger-image sensed by a finger-image sensor based on the finger-image-related signals provided to that computer terminal. TRANDAL et al discloses a telephone handset (140 of figure 1) including a microphone and speaker coupled to provide signals to and receive signals from the computer terminal for voice communication (paragraph 37). PATEL discloses a finger image sensor coupled to at least to provide signals to the computer terminal relating to a finger-image sensed by the finger-image sensor; means for electronically authenticating a finger-image sensed by a finger-image sensor based on the finger-image-related signals provided to that computer terminal (figure 9 and paragraphs 92-98 and 12). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of OLSHANSKY, TRANDAL et al and PATEL. OLSHANSKY is silent as to the means to the structure of the means to send and receive voice via the computer. TRANDAL et al discloses a prior art means by which to send and receive voice via the computer. PATEL's finger image authentication enhances OLSHANSKY's teaching by proving a greater degree of security in the authentication.

Regarding claim 4, see the parent claim for the subject matter this claim depends from.

OLSHANSKY further discloses that at least one of the computer terminals includes the means for authenticating (column 3 lines 1-28 and column 5 lines 11-55).

Regarding claim 5, see the parent claim for the subject matter this claim depends from.

OLSHANSKY further discloses comprising a computer in the network, other than the computer terminals, that include the means for authenticating (column 3 lines 1-28 and column 5 lines 11-55).

Application/Control Number: 10/081,132

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 6, see the parent claim for the subject matter this claim depends from.

OLSHANSKY further discloses that at least one of the computer terminals includes the means responsive to the authenticating means (column 3 lines 1-28 and column 5 lines 11-55).

Regarding claim 7, see the parent claim for the subject matter this claim depends from.

OLSHANSKY further discloses that at least one of the computer terminals includes the means responsive to the authenticating means (column 3 lines 1-28 and column 5 lines 11-55).

Regarding claim 8, see the parent claim for the subject matter this claim depends from.

OLSHANSKY further discloses that the handset is keypadless and each computer terminal includes a computer input device by which information for accessing or otherwise participating in voice communications over the network is input to the computer terminal (figure 3). In the alternative, TRANDAL et al further discloses that the handset is keypadless and each computer terminal includes a computer input device by which information for accessing or otherwise participating in voice communications over the network is input to the computer terminal (figure 1).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lewis G. West whose telephone number is 571-272-7859. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew D. Anderson can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,132

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lewis G. West Primary Examiner Art Unit 2618 Page 7